



Automated layout planning

aspects of a graphical interfaces:

- content: information to be presented
- layout: visuospatial properties of the presentation
- interaction: how the user and presentation interact

• layout planning and the need for automation:

- hard to do even for experienced graphics designers
- production times are getting shorter
- content to be presented may be changing with time
- may need to make a tailor a presentation to the user
- may have resource limitations:
 - interface constraints (e.g. PDA resolution)
 - computational resources (e.g. communications bandwidth)

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 3

Classes of layout problems

- text formatting
 - e.g. enumerations, paragraphs, footnotes, columns, captions
- text layout
 - e.g. column width, caption placement
- 2D layout
 - label placement (place text in a static graphic)
 - graph drawing (layout a structure with fixed topology)
 - text and graphics layout (configuring a chart or multimedia document)
- 3D layout
 - 3D versions of the the 2D problems (especially visualization)

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 4

- additional issues of lighting and camera configuration

















Greedy algorithms

- no backtracking, just do the best label assignment next
- any point that cannot be labeled without a conflict:
 - leave the point out (point selection)
 - assign overlapping label, and allow the resulting obscuration
 - appeal for user assistance (human oracle)
- typically gives rise to non-optimal labeling, at a low cost
- greedy algorithm performance can be improved by adding/modifying the objective function, for example, the value ordering method used to improve exhaustive search.

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 13



- Another method related to greedy algorithms is to consider the change in the objective function resulting from a change in the labeling of any single point-feature.
 - For each feature, randomly place its label.
 - Repeat until no improvement in gradient function:
 - For all single changes in label position: calculate the corresponding change in the objective function.
 - Perform the label repositioning that yields the greatest improvement in the objective function.
- The problem (as with all gradient descent approaches) is that it is only a local search method and will get stuck in local maximum.

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 14









Introduction to graph drawing

- · characterize graphs and motivations for graph drawing
- review relevance of approaches to PFLP to graph drawing
- characterize the cognitive issues involved in the design and application of effective graph drawing techniques
- review some of the main classes of graph drawing algorithms
- consider in detail a few graph drawing algorithms
 - binary tree drawing algorithms
 - local and global search approaches to graph drawing

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 19

- Force-directed methods

Definitions: what is a graph? • a graph G = (V, E) consists of a finite set V of vertices and a finite multiset **E** of edges – unordered pairs (**u**, **v**) of vertices (or nodes) the **end-vertices** of an edge e = (u, v) are u and v, and we say that *u* and *v* are adjacent to each other and that *e* is incident to *u* and *v* a *directed graph* (a *digraph*) is defined similarly except that the elements of *E*, called *directed edges*, are ordered pairs of vertices a directed edge, (u,v), is an outgoing edge of u and an incoming edge of v graphs with edges between all vertices are *fully connected* and graphs with few edges between nodes are referred to as sparse a *drawing* of a graph is *planar* if no two distinct edges intersect a planar drawing partitions space into topologically connected regions called *faces*, and the unbounded face is referred to as the external face

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 20







- situations in which actual (and derived) data is naturally represented as a graph are numerous:
 - WWW: global networks, sitemaps, history diagrams
 - software engineering: data flow diagrams, OO class hierarchies
 - artificial intelligence: semantic networks, terminological networks
 - management: organisational charts, PERT charts
- · actual problem: graph visualization or graph drawing
 - the local and global properties of the relational data to be displayed (e.g. visual similarity of isomorphic subgraphs)
 - the interaction requirements (e.g. edits) and the graph drawing algorithm, redrawing within time constraints of interaction

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 23

Requirements: visual aesthetics

- global:
 - area: minimize the total area
 - aspect ratio: minimize the aspect ratio (longest:shortest ratio of bounding rectangle)
 - symmetry: display the symmetries of the graph in the drawing
- edges:
 - total edge length: minimize the sum of the edge lengths
 - maximum edge length: minimize the longest edge length
 - uniform edge length: minimize the variance in the edge lengths
 - crossings: minimise the number of crossings
 - angular resolution: maximise the smallest angle for two adjacent edges
- bends:
 - total bends: minimize the total number of bends
 - maximum bends: minimise the maximum number of bends on an edge
 - uniform bends: minimise the variance in the number of bends on edges

LMU München – Medieninformatik – Butz/Boring – Smart Graphics – SS2007 – Methoden: Graph Drawing – Folie 24

Requirements: constraints

- placement constraints
 - place a vertex close to the centre of a drawing
 - place vertex on the external boundary of a drawing
 - cluster a group of vertices together in a spatial region of a drawing
 - all the above, but with subgraphs rather than vertices
- spatial sequencing
 - draw a particular path in a particular direction
- shape
 - draw a graph within a specified shape
- efficiency
 - draw a graph within a specified time bound
 - anytime behaviour is often desirable

LMU München – Medieninformatik – Butz/Boring – Smart Graphics – SS2007 – Methoden: Graph Drawing – Folie 25































Solution techniques and other models

- performing a local search is the simplest solution technique:
 - 1. randomly place the vertices
 - 2. loop until all forces are below a threshold:
 - compute the forces on each vertices
 - move each vertex in the direction of, and a distance proportional to, its force
- other force models:
 - barycenter method:
 - no repulsion, *l_{uv}* to zero for all edges
 - "nail down" the positions of at least three vertices
 - graph-theoretic distances:
 - tries to make the Euclidean distance between all pairs of vertices u
 and v (not just directly connected vertices) d(p_u, p_v) proportional to
 the graph theoretic distance δ(u, v) (the shortest path length from u
 to v)





Simulated annealing

- general energy functions require more global optimization techniques, for example, (Davidson and Harel, 1996) used simulated annealing:
 - 1. choose an initial configuration
 - 2. repeat some fixed number of times:
 - choose a new configuration C* from the neighbourhood of C
 - if $(\eta^* < \eta)$ set C to C*, else set C to C* with a probablity $e^{(E-E^*)/T}$
 - 3. decrease the temperature T
 - 4. if the termination rule is satisfied then stop, else continue from step 2
- configuration choice was by random placement of a single vertex within a (decreasing) radius from its current position, thus there is a cooling schedule applied to the perturbation of vertices

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 43

Contrast this with the Christensen's application of SA to PFLP...



Evaluation of force-based methods

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 45

LMU München - Medieninformatik - Butz/Boring - Smart Graphics - SS2007 - Methoden: Graph Drawing - Folie 46

Strengths

- · relatively simple to implement
- smooth evolution of the drawing into the final configuration helps preserving the user's mental map
- can be extended to 3D
- often able to detect and display symmetries
- works well in practice for small graphs with regular structure
- Smooth transitions come for free

Weaknesses

- slow running time (depending on solution technique, but in general only up to hundreds of vertices)
- few theoretical results on the quality of the drawings produced
- difficult to extend to orthogonal and polyline drawings
- limited ability to add constraints and maintain constraints

Literatur, Links <u>http://www.jgraph.com/</u> <u>http://jheer.org/vizster/</u> – <u>Movie</u>